

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,490	02/07/2001	Pardip K. Vaid	5001-355	8061
7:	590 04/29/2003			
McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP			EXAMINER	
City Place II 185 Asylum Str			JOHNSON, STEPHEN	
Hartford, CT	06103-3402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/788,490	MATSUBARA, KATSUSHIGE				
/ Authory Motion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M. Johnson	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extention or (ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: the		sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		·				
10. □ Other: Duple ~ W 703-306-41	58					
STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER		Stephen M. Johnso Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3641	n			